

### आयुक्त(अपील )का कार्यालय, Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),

केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad जीएसटी भवन, राजस्सामां, अम्बावाडीअहमदाबाद३८००%, CGST Bhavan, Revence Marg, Ambawati, Akmandabad 38005 07926300565 - टेलेफिक्स07926305136



# DIN: 20231164SW000000E4FB

## स्पीड पोस्ट

ङ फाइल संख्या : File No : GAPPL/COM/STP/4289/2023

18615-99

ख अपील आपेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal No. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-177/2023-24 दिनॉक Date: 28-11-2023 जारी करने की वारीख Date of Issue 30.11.2023

आयुक्त (अमील) द्वारा पारित Passed by **Shri Gyan Chand Jain**, Commissioner (Appeals)

- ম Arising out of OIO No. MP/298/DC/Div-IV/2022-23 বিশীক্ষ: 10.03.2023 passed by The Deputy Commissioner, CSST, Division-IV, Ahmedabad South.
- N अणीलकर्ता का नामए वें पता Name & Address

Appellant M/s. Hasumatiben Popatial Parikh, Suhasi AD, 86 Girivar Bunglows, Ramwadi, Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382443.

कोई व्य विद्य इस अभील आदेश से असंसोध अनुमव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यसास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अभील या पुनरीक्षम आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीबाण आवेदन

## Revision application to Government of India:

(i) केन्द्रीय उरयदन सुरुक अधिनियम, 1894 की बाथ अतत नीचे बताए गए मामतों के बारे में पुरोधत धारा को उप-बाथ के प्रथम परस्कुक के वंशनंत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन त्तविद, मारत सरकार, वित्ता मंत्रालय, पाजस्व क्षिमाग, चौधी मॉलिज, जीवन द्वीप मदन, त्तवद मार्ग, नई दिल्ती : 110001 को की सानी बाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parilament Street, New Delhi -110.011 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first provide to sub-section (1) of Section-35 lind :

(i) यदि माल की छानि के मामले में जब ऐसी छानिकार खाने से किसी मण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी मण्डागार से दूसरे मण्डानार में माल से चाले हुए मार्ग में या किसी मण्डानार या मण्डार में घाठे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी मण्डानार ने छो माल की प्रथिया के दौरान हुई छो।

(i) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course approximation of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- (क) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्वातित माल पर या माल के विभिर्माण में उपयोग सुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिवेट के मामलें में जो मारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (A) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (a) यदि जुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (B) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम जरपादन की जरपादन मुल्क के मुमतान के लिए जो ढयूटी केंकिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस घारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, क्रमिल के द्वारा पार्थित वो सनय पर या बाद में विरत अंतिनियम (नेट) 1996 चारा 108 हारा निष्ट्रस्त किंदु गर हो।

(c) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the dato appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act. 1996.

(1) केन्द्रीय घट्यादन बुद्धक (अपील) निवनावली, 2001 के निवम 9 के कोर्नाज वितिर्दिट प्रत्य प्रध्या १९-4 में ये वो प्रतियों में प्रेसेश कांग्रेस के प्रति कार्रेस केरिंग दिनीक से तीन मास के मीतरपूतन-कार्यस एवं व्यक्ति कार्रेस को ये-ने प्रतियों के काय वार्षित कोर्स के पुरातन के कहुत के साथ टीआर-8 चारान की प्रति में होनी कांग्रेस वा ये-प्रतियों के प्राय वहिंता कोर्स के पुरातन के कहुत के साथ टीआर-8 चारान की प्रति में होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rudy 9 of central Excise Appendix Rudes, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appended against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies search of the OIO and Corden-Append. It should also be accompanied by oopy of TR-8 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fees as prescribed under Section 35-EEF of CEA. 1944. under Nation Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक साख रूपये या उससे कम होतो रूपये 200/-मीस भगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्नकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस मुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुरुक, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवा कर अपीलीव न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील>-Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (#) उक्ततिश्वित परिष्ठेद 2 (1) क्ष में बताए अनुसार के जातवा की अरीत, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा युन्क, क्षेन्द्रीय खरायहम युद्धक एवं वेश्वकर जरीतीय च्यावाविकरना<u>त्रिस्टेट</u>) की परिषय केंग्रीय पीठिका, असमयाबाद में 20<sup>45</sup> माना, बहुमाली पितन, असपरवा, गिरिधरनागर, अहम्मदाबाद–880004
- (a) To the west regional banch of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at 2<sup>ed</sup> Floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asanwa, Girdher Negar, Ahmedabad : 380004, in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(0) (a) above.



.

The appeal to the Appealance Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicatin in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rules 6 of Cartiell Exclusi/Appeals Rules, 2011 and shall be appeared to the start of the start should be accompanied by a fee of Re1,1000-, No. 50,000-. Be 10000- where amount of duty / panelly / demand / reland is upto 5 Lan, 6 Lao to 50 Lao and above 50 Lao respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in forward Apatt Register of a transform of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the Interded.

(3) मंदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश कोता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओपक के लिए फीस का मुगतान उपर्युत्वत यंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस सच्य के होता हुए भी कि लिस्का मधी जायें से स्वाने के लिए प्रधारिवर्ती अमीरीय न्यायाविकरण के एक अद्योत्त या केन्द्रीय स्वारता के एक आदेशन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptonia work if excising Rs, 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय गुल्बकअधिनियम 1970 व्ययासंशोधित की अनुसूधि–1 के अंतर्गत निर्धापित किए अनुसार उरका आवेदन या मुझ्आदेव ययास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आनंत में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर स.8.50 पैसे अन्यायालय राष्ट्रा दिवर दना होना चाडिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संसंधित मामतों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सोता शुल्क, केन्द्रीय धरपादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय ग्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निष्ठित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

> 19 सीमा सुरुष, केन्द्रीय जरमादन सुरुष एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरना[सिस्टेट], के प्रतिअपीलों के नामले ने कर्डवमनंत्राDemanD एवं वैठी(Penalty) का 10% पूर्व कमा करना अनिवार्य है। इसराष्ट्रि, अधिकतम पूर्व वात्रा 10 करोड़ रुपर है। [Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 38 Section Bid of the Finance Act, 1944]

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded)-

- a. (Section) खेड 11D के तहत निर्धारित रागि;
- इण सिया गसत सेनचेट क्रेडिट की रागि;
- बण सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय संसि.

यह पूर्व जमा 'संबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' शांधल करने के लिए पूर्व वर्त बना दिया गया के

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the predeposit amount shall not exceed Res 10 Corress. If may be noted that the pre-deposit as mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT, (section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Certare Existe Act, 1946, section 83 S actions 87 of the Center Existe Act, 1949)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules

. (III) आगण्यास एअपुरासल प्राायन र प्रायन र प्रायन र प्रायन र प्रायत र प्रायत र प्रायत स्थान इस आदेव के प्रति अपीद प्रायिकरण के समझ चहाँ मुल्क अपवा मुल्ल या दण्ड विचादिव हो तो मौंग किए गए मुल्क के 10% भगवान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विचादिव हो वब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की चा सकरी है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tetranskov payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in displayer or payment of penalty alone is in dispute.



#### ORDER IN APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Hasumatiben Popatial Parith, Suhasi AD, 86 Girivar Bunglows, Ramwadi Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382 443 [bereinafter referred to as "the Appellantes" gainst Order in Orginal No. MP/299/DC/Div-IV/22-23 dated 10.03.2023 [hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order"] passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Central GST, Division-IV [Narol], Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the 2. Appellants were holding Service Tax Registration No. AFSPP6650CST001. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT), it was noticed that the Appellants had declared less gross value in their Service Tax Returns (ST-3) for the F.Y. 2015-16 and F.Y. 2016-17 as compared to the gross value declared by them in their Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS Returns. Accordingly, it appeared that the Appellants had mis-declared the gross value of sales of service in the service tax returns and short paid /not paid the applicable service tax. The Appellants were called upon to submit copies of relevant documents for assessment for the said period. However, the Appellants neither submitted any required details/documents explaining the reason for the difference raised between gross value declared in ST-3 Returns and Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS nor responded to the letter in any manner. Therefore, the Appellants were issued Show Cause Notice wherein it was proposed to:

a) Demand and recover an amount of Rs 6,43,971/- under proviso to Sub Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994; along with interest under section 75 of the



Finance Act 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').

- b) Impose penalty under the provisions of Section 77 (1) & 77(2) and 78 of the Act.
- The SCN was adjudicated ex-parte vide the impugned order wherein:
- a) The demand of service tax amounting to Rs. 6,43,971/was confirmed along with interest.
- Penalty amounting to Rs. 6,43,971/- was imposed under section 78(I) of the Act.
- c) Penalty amounting to Rs. 10,000/- was imposed under section 77(2) of the Act.
- Penalty as applicable on the Appellants under section 77(1) of the Act.
- Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the Appellants have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:
- The Appellants are engaged in the business of providing taxable service of "advertising agency service". The 'advertising agency' entered into contract with their clients for providing "advertisement agency service". The 'Advertising agent' render 'advertising agency service' to various clients in the form of creative agency wherein, they create advertisement by themselves or their third party media agency wherein, they do media printing and/ or buying for advertisement to be published in print/electronic media. They are receiving 15% Agency Commission from authorized Broadcasting and Print media.
  - In the present case the Print media or authorized broadcasting media gives a discount of 15% to the Advertising agency. If the tariff rate is Rs. 100/- it is



sufficient the Advertising Agency pay the media Re. 85,along with applicable Service Tax. The Advertising Agency has not received any amount from the media nor has the media paid any consideration to the Advertising Agency. The Appellants has only availed the discount of 15% as Commission.

The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print media or through Radio or Television, etc. In order to fulfill the requirement of his client the advertising agency i.e. the Appellants gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned its client is not the media. In this case the client of the advertising agency is service receiver and the advertising agency is service provider. This aspect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Tax Act. The media such as broadcasting agency charges the advertising agency for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television.

- In the instant case the "Advertising Agent" has purchased the Space or Time Slot for Advertisement from the Media. Hence no service is rendered by the Advertising Agency to the media.
- Now for the calculation of Service Tax, the gross amount received by the 'Advertising agency' (Service provider) from its client will be the value of the taxable service. Moreover, as the consideration (gross amount) received by the 'Advertising agency' has been shown as an Income in the Profit & Loss A/c is sufficient to be charged with Service tax on the entire consideration received. The argument of the 'Advertising agency' that the amount of 85 percent which it pays to the Media for



•

۶

the purchase of SPACE or TIME SLOT (as the case may be) is claimed as the reimbursement of the expenditure made by them is nullified as the said consideration has been shown as an income in the Profit & Loss A/c. The exemption from the payment of service tax is plausible under the "reimbursement" concept where the 'Advertising agency' fulfills all of the stipulations prescribed for the "pure agent" under Service Tax (Determination of Valuation) Rules, 2006. Other than the above, if the 'advertising agency' receives any consideration from the Media as a Commission for arranging/finding Customers for the Media in relation to their Sale of SPACE or TIME-SLOT (as the case may be), the said consideration amount received by the 'Advertising Agency' is also liable to Service Tax under the Taxable services of "business auxiliary service".

The activity of the media is selling of Space or Time Slots for advertisement, which is classified under 105(zzzzm) of Section 65 of the Act; on the other hand the activity of the "Advertising Agency" is to make necessary arrangements to have the matter of its client advertised in the media. The Appellants relied on the following case laws: (1) The Hon'ble CESTAT, Ahmedabad in the matter of M/s Drishty Communication Pvt. Ltd. v. CCE & ST-Raikot (Service Tax Appeal No. 135 of 2012 dtd. 05th January, 2023], (2) Euro Rscg. Advertising Ltd. and ......v. CCE on 27th December, 2006 Equivalent citations: 2007 9 STJ 56 CESTAT Bangalore, 2007 7 STR 277, (3) Grey Worldwide (I) Pvt. Ltd. v. Commissioner of Service Tax on 30th July, 2014 in the Hon'ble CESTAT. West Zonal Bench at Mumbai, Appeal No. ST/300 & 325/09.

×

>

The Appellants have already paid service tax on amount



received from clients/customers, in over business 15% on amount received from customers, commission on selling of printing slot.

۶

The demand of interest and penalty is not sustainable in view of unsustainability of the demand of input tax credit. Service tax has not been payable as the department could not prove the allegation with the support of any corroborative evidences.

 Personal Hearing in the case was held on 09.11.2023.
Shri Dhaval Movaliya, C.A., appeared on behalf of the Appellants for the hearing. He reiterated the contents of the written submissions and requested to allow their appeal.

6. The Appellants have submitted following documents (A) copy of Income Tax Return, (B) copy of P & L Account and Balance Sheet and copy of ledger summary in respect of F.Y. 2015-15 and F.Y. 2015-17, (C) copy of ST-3 Returns and sample invoices issued to various clients and copy of invoice received from the Times Group (D) copy of Form 26AS for F.Y. 2015-15 and F.Y. 2015-17.

7. I have gone through the facts of the case, submission made in the Appeal Memorandum, the submission made at the time of personal hearing and oral submissions made at the time of personal hearing and oral submissions made at the time of personal hearing and oral submissions made at the authority confirming demand of service tax amount of Rs. 6,43,971/- along with interest and penalties, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The dispute pertains to the period F.Y 2015-16 & F.Y. 2016-17.

 It is noticed that in the instant case the Appellants holding Service tax registration No. AFSPP6650CST001 are



engaged in providing taxable services of Advertising Agency Service. The taxable service in respect of advertising agency is defined in Section 65 (105) (e) in the following manner:

to a client, by an advertising agency in relation to advertisement in any manner.

In the present case a person or an organization who 9 wants to advertise their product approaches an advertising agency. Therefore such a person / organization who want to avail the services of advertising agency become the client of the advertising agency. This aspect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Tax Act. The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print Media or through Radio or Television, etc. In order to fulfill the requirements of his client the advertising agency which is the service provider gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned, its client is not the media. In order to provide advertising agency service the Appellants charge certain amounts from their clients, which is inclusive of amount that has to be paid to media for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television. The Appellants have demonstrated by the given example as shown under that they have received income only to the extent of around 15% from the media in the form of discount-

If the tariff rate is Rs. 100/- the media charges Rs. 85/- and the Appellants get Rs. 15/- towards discounts, which is an actual income in the hand of the Appellants and on that amount they discharge service tax received from their clients.

10. However, on going through the impugned order which was issued ex-parte, the adjudicating authority has neither considered the factual position nor the legality of the entire



issue and demanded service tax on the whole amount received by the Appellants from the service provided by them on the basis of mere data collected from income Tax Return without excluding the amount which was paid to media for the purchase of space or Time Slot. The demand of service tax confirmed by the adjudicating authority is shown as under:

Period	"Value difference in ITR and STR"	Total rate of duty	Amount of Service Tax not paid
2015-16	19,75,293	14.5%	2,86,417
2016-17	23,83,697	15%	3,57,554
		Total	6,43,971

11. I find that the Appellants had paid service tax for the impugned period and also filed service tax Return. On the basis of data received from Service tax Returns (ST-3) submitted by the Appellants the details of taxable amount and service tax paid by the Appellants in the respective period is shown as under-

F.Y. 2015-16				
Period	Taxable amount	Service Tax paid		
April-September	2,47,365	34,370		
October-March	1,06,500	14,910		
Total	3,53,865	49,280		
	F.Y. 2016-17			
Period	Taxable amount	Service Tax paid		
April-September	1,38,474	20,447		
October-March	3,17,400	47,610		
Total	4,55,874	68,057		

12. In view of the above findings, the impugned order has no merits. Since the demand of service tax is not sustainable on merits there does not arise any question of interest or penalty in the matter.

 Accordingly, in view of my foregoing discussions, I set aside the impugned order passed by the adjudicating

10

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Dated: 0 1.11.2023

28.11.4

Appellants

authority for being not legal and proper and allow the appeal filed by the Appellants.

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दायर अपील का निपटान उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the Appellants stands disposed of in above terms.



BY RPAD/ SPEED POST To M/s. Hasumatiben Popatlal Parikh.

M/s. Hasumanben Popalai Pankn, Suhasi AD, 86 Girivar Bunglows, Ramwadi Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382 443

#### The Deputy Commissioner

Respondent

Division-IV (Narol), CGST & Central Excise Ahmedabad South.

#### Copy to :

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedabad South
- 3. The Deputy Commissioner, Division -IV, Central GST, Ahmedabad South.
- 4. The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), COST, Ahmedabad South
  - (for uploading the OIA)
- 15. Guard File
- 6. PA file

